

An Implementation of the Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm

Lukas Finschi

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich

Institut für Operations Research
Clausiusstrasse 45
CH-8092 Zürich

April 16, 1996

Abstract

Minimization of a quadratic function over a sphere can be done in a very efficient way by use of the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm. This paper gives a short introduction to the techniques of this algorithm and presents an implementation of it. This implementation was realized in C and extended with links to Mathematica and S-Plus.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Two Quadratic Problems	1
1.2	The Basic Theorem	1
1.3	Peripheral Minimization	1
1.4	The Idea of the Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm	2
2	Some Techniques of the Algorithm	3
2.1	Efficient Use of the Newton Method	3
2.2	Lower and Upper Bounds for the Parameter ν	3
2.3	The Squareroot-Approximation	3
2.4	The Trick of the Tiny Steps	3
3	Implementation of the Algorithm	4
3.1	Bisection and Termination	4
3.2	Strategy in the <code>while</code> -loop	4
3.3	Finding an Eigenvector β	4
3.4	The Sign of τ in $\bar{\delta} + \tau\beta$	4
4	Results	4
4.1	Generating Problem Sets	5
4.2	Test Series and Results	5
A	Source Code of the C Program	7
B	Extension to Mathematica	18
C	Extension to S-Plus	23

1 Introduction

1.1 Two Quadratic Problems

We consider the following two quadratic minimization problems:

$$\min_{\|\delta\| \leq h} q(\delta) \quad (1)$$

$$\min_{\|\delta\| = h} q(\delta) \quad (2)$$

where

$$q : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \delta \mapsto q(\delta) := \frac{1}{2} \delta^T G \delta + g^T \delta \quad (3)$$

is an arbitrary quadratic function with $G \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ a symmetric matrix, $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $h > 0$; the norm is the (euclidean) ℓ_2 -norm defined by $\|\delta\| := \sqrt{\delta^T \delta}$.

1.2 The Basic Theorem

Since G is symmetric, there exist n eigenvectors v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n of G with corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_{max} := \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n =: \lambda_{min}$. We will use this notation for the rest of this paper.

The problem given by (1) will be solved (numerically) by use of the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm, which is based on the following theorem:

Theorem 1 *Given problem (1) and a $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|\delta\| \leq h$. Then: δ is a solution of (1) if and only if there exists a $\nu \geq 0$, such that*

- (i) $G + \nu \mathbb{1}$ is positive semidefinite
- (ii) $(G + \nu \mathbb{1})\delta = -g$
- (iii) if $\nu > 0$, then $\|\delta\| = h$

If such a ν exists, then it is unique (in particular: ν does not depend on the solution δ , only on G, g , and h).

A proof of theorem 1 can be found in [1] and in [2].

Before we study problem (1), we show how the second problem given by (2) can be reduced to the first.

1.3 Peripheral Minimization

For $\mu > \lambda_{min}$, $\tilde{G} := G - \mu \mathbb{1}$ is not positive semidefinite. Then theorem 1 says, that for every solution $\tilde{\delta}$ of

$$\min_{\|\delta\| \leq h} \frac{1}{2} \delta^T \tilde{G} \delta + g^T \delta \quad (4)$$

we have $\|\tilde{\delta}\| = h$ (since $\nu > 0$). Then $\tilde{\delta}$ is a solution of

$$\min_{\|\delta\| = h} \frac{1}{2} \delta^T \tilde{G} \delta + g^T \delta \quad (5)$$

and because of

$$\frac{1}{2} \delta^T \tilde{G} \delta + g^T \delta = \frac{1}{2} \delta^T G \delta + g^T \delta - \frac{1}{2} \mu \|\delta\|^2 \quad (6)$$

a solution of (2) (remark: the term $-\frac{1}{2} \mu \|\delta\|^2$ in (6) will be constant in (5) since $\|\delta\| = h$). So, a solution of (2) can be found in the following way:

Algorithm 1

Find a $\mu > \lambda_{min}$ (e.g. by use of Gershgorin discs)

$\tilde{G} := G - \mu \mathbb{1}$

Solve (4) \Rightarrow solution $\tilde{\delta}$ (with minimal value $\tilde{q}(\tilde{\delta})$)

Then $\tilde{\delta}$ is a solution of (2) and $q(\tilde{\delta}) = \tilde{q}(\tilde{\delta}) + \frac{1}{2} \mu h^2$.

For an implementation of algorithm 1 see appendix A (procedure `lm_opt_peripheral`). As theorem 1 gives a characterization of the solutions of (1), the following theorem does the same for problem (2):

Theorem 2 *Given problem (2) and a $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|\delta\| = h$. Then: δ is a solution of (2) if and only if there exists a $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, such that*

- (i) $G + \nu \mathbf{1}$ is positive semidefinite
- (ii) $(G + \nu \mathbf{1})\delta = -g$

If such a ν exists, then it is unique.

Proof:

(Only if) Let δ be a solution of (2), $\mu > \lambda_{min}$, and $\tilde{G} := G - \mu \mathbf{1}$ (then \tilde{G} is not positive semidefinite). In (6) the term $-\frac{1}{2}\mu\|\delta\|^2$ is constant for all δ with $\|\delta\| = h$, so the given δ is a solution of (5) and (with theorem 1 (i) and (iii)) a solution of (4). By theorem 1 there exists a $\tilde{\nu} \geq \mu - \lambda_{min} > 0$, such that $(\tilde{G} + \tilde{\nu} \mathbf{1})\delta = -g$ holds. With $\nu := \tilde{\nu} - \mu$ we have $\tilde{G} + \tilde{\nu} \mathbf{1} = G + \nu \mathbf{1}$, so $G + \nu \mathbf{1}$ is positive semidefinite and $(G + \nu \mathbf{1})\delta = -g$ holds.

(If) Let $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ be a solution of (i) and (ii), and let $\mu > \lambda_{min}$. Set $\tilde{G} := G - \mu \mathbf{1}$ and $\tilde{\nu} := \nu + \mu$, then we know (because of $\lambda_{min} + \nu \geq 0$) that $\tilde{\nu} > 0$. Since $\tilde{G} + \tilde{\nu} \mathbf{1} = G + \nu \mathbf{1}$, $\tilde{G} + \tilde{\nu} \mathbf{1}$ is positive semidefinite and $(\tilde{G} + \tilde{\nu} \mathbf{1})\delta = -g$. Then (with theorem 1), δ is a solution of (4) and, as seen before, a solution of (5) and of (2).

(Uniqueness) For $i \in \{1, 2\}$ let ν_i and δ_i be solutions of (i) and (ii), i.e. $\nu_i \geq -\lambda_{min}$ and $(G + \nu_i \mathbf{1})\delta_i = -g$. Let be $\mu > \lambda_{min}$ and $\tilde{G} := G - \mu \mathbf{1}$, then as above we find $\tilde{\nu}_i$ as in theorem 1. From the uniqueness of the parameter in theorem 1, we have $\tilde{\nu}_1 = \tilde{\nu}_2 =: \tilde{\nu}$. From $(\tilde{G} + \tilde{\nu} \mathbf{1})\delta_i = (G + (\tilde{\nu} - \mu) \mathbf{1})\delta_i = -g = (G + \nu_i \mathbf{1})\delta_i$ we conclude $\nu_i \delta_i = (\tilde{\nu} - \mu)\delta_i$. Because of $\|\delta_i\| = h > 0$, we have $\delta_i \neq 0$ and $\nu_i = \tilde{\nu} - \mu$, i.e. $\nu_1 = \nu_2$.

For the rest of this paper, we will concentrate on problem (1).

1.4 The Idea of the Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm

We want to find a solution of problem (1) and will do this by use of theorem 1.

First we have to find the ν , such that in theorem 1 (i), (ii), and (iii) hold, so we seek in the intervall $(\max\{0, -\lambda_{min}\}, \infty)$ for a ν^* with $\|\delta\| = \|(G + \nu^* \mathbf{1})^{-1}(-g)\| = h$ (in the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm this is done using the efficient technique of subsection 2.1); if such a ν^* exists, it is unique and we have $\nu > -\lambda_{min}$ (in the implementation, this is called the **NORMAL CASE**); otherwise the ν of theorem 1 is equal to $\max\{0, -\lambda_{min}\}$: either $\nu = 0$ (**CASE NU == 0**) or $\nu = -\lambda_{min} > 0$ (**HARDCASE**).

In each possible case we like to find a solution δ , so we have to study the set of solutions depending on ν :

Either we have $\nu > -\lambda_{min}$, then $G + \nu \mathbf{1}$ is positive definite and the solution δ of (1) is unique, given by $(G + \nu \mathbf{1})^{-1}(-g)$.

Or $\nu = -\lambda_{min}$, then $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a solution of (1) if and only if it is of the form $\delta = \bar{\delta} + \delta'$, where

$$\bar{\delta} := \lim_{\substack{\nu \rightarrow -\lambda_{min} \\ \nu > -\lambda_{min}}} (G + \nu \mathbf{1})^{-1}(-g) = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ \lambda_i \neq \lambda_{min}}}^n (-v_i^T g) \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_{min}} v_i$$

and $\delta' = \sum_{\lambda_i = \lambda_{min}} d_i v_i$ for arbitrary d_i (with the restriction that $\nu > 0$ implies $\|\delta'\| = \sqrt{h^2 - \|\bar{\delta}\|^2}$).

In this case (i.e. $\nu = -\lambda_{min}$) we have $\delta' \perp \bar{\delta}$ and $\delta' \perp g$, and $q(\delta) = q(\bar{\delta}) + \frac{\lambda_{min}}{2}(h^2 - \|\bar{\delta}\|^2)$.

In fact, we will do the following:

- (a) In the **NORMAL CASE**: $\delta = (G + \nu \mathbf{1})^{-1}(-g)$ is the unique solution.
- (b) In the **CASE NU == 0**: if $\lambda_{min} > 0$ then $\delta = G^{-1}(-g)$ is the solution, otherwise ($\lambda_{min} = 0$) we choose $\delta = \bar{\delta}$.
- (c) In the **HARDCASE** we have to find an eigenvector $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ belonging to the eigenvalue λ_{min} (i.e. $G\beta = \lambda_{min}\beta$) and a $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|\bar{\delta} + \tau\beta\| = h$, and then $\delta = \bar{\delta} + \tau\beta$ is a solution of (1).

2 Some Techniques of the Algorithm

2.1 Efficient Use of the Newton Method

We will assume in this subsection, that $g \neq 0$ and that ν^* as in subsection 1.4 exists (i.e. $\nu^* \in (\max\{0, -\lambda_{min}\}, \infty)$ and $\|(G + \nu^* \mathbf{1})^{-1}(-g)\| = h$).

We define

$$\eta : (\max\{0, -\lambda_{min}\}, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \nu \mapsto \eta(\nu) := \|(G + \nu \mathbf{1})^{-1}(-g)\| \quad (7)$$

This function η is positive, strictly decreasing and convex. We could find ν^* by applying the Newton method on $\eta(\nu) - h$; in fact, this may be not very efficient. It is better to apply the Newton method on $\frac{1}{\eta(\nu)} - \frac{1}{h}$ (then we find for one Newton step $\nu_{next} = \nu - \frac{\eta(\nu) - h}{\eta'(\nu)} \cdot \frac{\eta(\nu)}{h}$; we can say, this method is better than the Newton method applied on $\eta(\nu) - h$ by the factor $\frac{\eta(\nu)}{h}$ in each step). Since the function $\nu \mapsto \frac{1}{\eta(\nu)}$, defined on the same range as η , is positive, strictly increasing and concave, we have for every ν a Newton step with $\nu_{next} \leq \nu^*$ (which may be used for lower bounds, see implementation appendix A, lines 492 - 497).

It is not a big problem to compute $\eta'(\nu) \equiv \frac{d\eta}{d\nu}$: Since we use Cholesky decompositions $LL^T = G + \nu \mathbf{1}$ to compute $\eta(\nu)$ and $\delta = (G + \nu \mathbf{1})^{-1}(-g)$ is already known, we just have to compute $L^{-1}\delta$, then we have $\eta'(\nu) = \frac{-(L^{-1}\delta)^T(L^{-1}\delta)}{\|\delta\|}$.

2.2 Lower and Upper Bounds for the Parameter ν

Another important technique is the use of lower and upper bounds for the ν we want to find. This idea will be used to control convergence.

At beginning, we set $\nu_{low} = 0$ and $\nu_{high} = \max\{0, \frac{\|g\|}{h} - \lambda^-\}$, where $\lambda^- \leq \lambda_{min}$ can be found by using Gershgorin discs. Execution of the implemented algorithm will produce a sequence of values for ν which allows us

- (a) to decide, whether $\nu = 0$ is what we are looking for,
- (b) to reduce the intervall $[\nu_{low}, \nu_{high}]$ to a very small intervall containing the desired ν , or
- (c) to find a ν with $\eta(\nu) \approx h$ (whenever such a ν exists in the intervall $(\max\{0, -\lambda_{min}\}, \infty)$).

If we stop because of (a) (**CASE NU == 0**) or (c) (**NORMAL CASE**), we do not care about the length of the intervall $[\nu_{low}, \nu_{high}]$; otherwise the length of the intervall $[\nu_{low}, \nu_{high}]$ is the criterion to stop the algorithm (then we would be in the **HARDCASE**).

2.3 The Squareroot-Approximation

A third technique tries to improve efficiency in the **HARDCASE**, where the function η gives no information about the ν we want to find, so we would have to use a bisection method to find $\nu = -\lambda_{min}$. As we compute Cholesky decompositions of $G + \nu \mathbf{1}$ anyway, we may compute

$$mindia\eta(\nu) := \text{the smallest value of an element on the diagonal of } L \quad (8)$$

where $LL^T = G + \nu \mathbf{1}$. Experience shows that $\nu \mapsto mindia\eta(\nu)$ can be approximated by $\nu \mapsto c \cdot \sqrt{\nu + \lambda_{min}}$ for some (unknown) constant $c > 0$, so we have for two different $\nu_i > -\lambda_{min}$ $f_i := mindia\eta(\nu_i) \approx c \cdot \sqrt{\nu_i + \lambda_{min}}$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, which leads to

$$-\lambda_{min} \approx \frac{\nu_1 - \left(\frac{f_1}{f_2}\right)^2 \nu_2}{1 - \left(\frac{f_1}{f_2}\right)^2}$$

(in the implementation appendix A, especially see lines 514 - 516).

2.4 The Trick of the Tiny Steps

Another trick which can be helpful in the **HARDCASE** is the following: Experience shows that after a few iterations of the parameter ν one bound of the intervall $[\nu_{low}, \nu_{high}]$ is a quite good approximation of $-\lambda_{min}$, where the other bound is of slower convergence. Then a very small step up or down (in the implementation called a **tiny_step**) may suffice to reduce $[\nu_{low}, \nu_{high}]$ in a next step a lot and, if we are lucky, one of the stop criteria may terminate the algorithm (in the implementation appendix A, especially see lines 518 - 530).

3 Implementation of the Algorithm

The most important ideas of the algorithm were presented in section 2; in this section we discuss further aspects of the implementation.

For a source code (in C) of the whole algorithm, see appendix A (procedure `lm_opt`). Most of this code should speak for itself, so further discussion will be reduced to few points.

3.1 Bisection and Termination

In order to limit the number of executions of the `while`-loop (lines 463 - 536 in the listing), after a certain number of executions of this loop (counted by variable `pparaiter`, especially see line 467) all special methods (e.g. Newton, Squareroot, Tiny Steps) are put aside and only an ordinary bisection method is applied for the rest. Since all loops of the algorithm are executed only finitely often, the execution will terminate; e.g. the `while`-loop (lines 463 - 536) will be terminated, since (at least when bisection is applied) the following holds:

- (a) if $\nu_{low} = 0$ for all steps: criteria $\nu_{high} > \text{NU_EPS}$ will cause termination after a limited number of steps
- (b) otherwise: $\nu_{high} - \nu_{low} > \nu_{high}\text{NU_EPS}$ will be violated after a finite number of steps

3.2 Strategy in the while-loop

In the first execution of the `while`-loop (lines 463 - 536 in the listing), we have $\nu \geq -\lambda_{min}$ (see line 456), so (if not $\nu = -\lambda_{min}$, a rare and unproblematic case) we are sure to find a Cholesky decomposition without more search.

As long as we do not know whether ν^* exists or not (see subsection 1.4), we concentrate on finding $\max\{0, -\lambda_{min}\}$, i.e. in the second step we try $\nu = 0$ (see lines 455 and 512), in the following steps (but not longer as we find a $\nu \in (-\lambda_{min}, \nu^*)$) we use the squareroot-approximation method (see subsection 2.3). Even if ν^* exists and we do not know, the algorithm is very efficient since the Newton method of subsection 2.1 is applied on the lower bound ν_{low} anyway.

If once we have found a $\nu \in (-\lambda_{min}, \nu^*)$, i.e. we are sure that ν^* exists, we concentrate on finding ν^* using the Newton method (see subsection 2.1); a flag will be set (`nu_low_gt_milami = TRUE`, see lines 507 and 512).

3.3 Finding an Eigenvector β

In the `HARDCASE`, we have to find a $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $G\beta = \lambda_{min}\beta$; in fact, our β will be an approximation of such an eigenvector. We compute a Cholesky decomposition of a nearly singular $G + \nu\mathbb{1} = LL^T$ (i.e. $\nu \approx -\lambda_{min}$), so we hope that $\text{mindia}(\nu) \approx 0$ (see (8)). Let be `min_index` the smallest i with $L_{ii} = \text{mindia}(\nu)$. Then we set $\beta_i = 0$ for $i > \text{min_index}$, $\beta_{\text{min_index}} = 1$, and β_i with $i < \text{min_index}$ is determined by $L^T\beta = \gamma$, where $\gamma_i = 0$ for $i \neq \text{min_index}$ and $\gamma_{\text{min_index}} = \text{mindia}(\nu)$ (in the implementation appendix A, lines 548 - 560).

3.4 The Sign of τ in $\bar{\delta} + \tau\beta$

In the `HARDCASE`, we have to find τ with $\|\bar{\delta} + \tau\beta\| = h$. There are two solutions, which should be of the same quality since in theory we have $\beta \perp \bar{\delta}$. But in practice our β is not more than an approximation and one solution of τ is better than the other, which can be seen by the sign of $\beta^T\bar{\delta}$ (in the implementation appendix A, lines 561 - 571).

4 Results

The following tests give an impression of the behaviour of the algorithm. It has to be noted that the results depend on the test problems and on the machines.

4.1 Generating Problem Sets

For problem (1), one problem set (consisting of 32 problems) was generated like the following:

- (a) The matrix G and the vector g are filled with random numbers (uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]$), then $G_{sing} = G - \lambda_{min}\mathbb{1}$ is computed (i.e. G_{sing} is (nearly) singular). The test problems are given by G_μ and g and h , where $G_\mu = G_{sing} + \mu\mathbb{1}$ and $h = \|(G_\mu + \nu\mathbb{1})^{-1}(-g)\|$ for $\mu, \nu \in \{0, 0.00001, 0.00101, 0.10101, 10.10101\}$ (every possible pair (μ, ν) except $(0, 0)$) (for **NORMAL CASE** tests). This will give 24 test problems for (a).
- (b) The same as in (a) (reuse of all data) for the special case of $\nu = 0$, but $h = 2 \cdot \|(G_\mu + \nu\mathbb{1})^{-1}\delta\|$ (for **CASE NU == 0** tests). This will give another 4 test problems.
- (c) The G and g of (a) are reused as G and $\bar{\delta}$ and a vector β is computed from G_{sing} as described in subsection 3.3. Then the test problems are given by G_ν and $g = -(G_\nu + \nu\mathbb{1})(\bar{\delta} + \beta)$ and $h = \|\bar{\delta} + \beta\|$, where $G_\nu = G_{sing} - \nu\mathbb{1}$ for $\nu \in \{0.00001, 0.00101, 0.10101, 10.10101\}$ (for **HARDCASE** tests). So we have 4 test problems in (c).

For problem (2), the test problems were generated in the same way as for problem (1), but with the following changes:

- (a) The set $\{0, 0.00001, \dots, 10.10101\}$ was replaced for μ (not for ν) by $\{0.01, 1.00001\}$. This will give 10 test problems for (a).
- (b) The **CASE NU == 0** will not appear, so it was not tested.
- (c) Here nothing was changed, so we have 4 test problems in (c).

By this, one problem set for problem (2) consists of 14 problems. The test set was reduced because of the longer duration of the execution.

4.2 Test Series and Results

Both problems ((1) and (2)) were tested with series of problem sets (see subsection 4.1) as the following:

Dimension	1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 16, and 32	100 and 200	300	400 and 500
Number of sets	1000	100	10	3
Number of problems (1)	32000	3200	320	96
Number of problems (2)	14000	1400	140	42

The machine was a HP 9000 735/125, the constants had the values

DBL_MAX	$1.7976931348623157 \cdot 10^{+308}$	Maximum double
DBL_EPSILON	$2.2204460492503131 \cdot 10^{-16}$	Smallest double ε that $1.0 + \varepsilon \neq 1.0$
NU_EPS	$1.1102230246251565 \cdot 10^{-15}$	see implementation appendix A
H_EPS	$2.2204460492503131 \cdot 10^{-15}$	see implementation appendix A

The results of the test are given in the two tables below.

The first line shows the average time used for solving one test problem (not a whole test set of 32 (or 14) tests). “Time” means not CPU time; it is the time of the whole test computation, including the time for generating the problems. The second line gives the ratio t/n^2 (where t is the time of line 1 and n the dimension of the problem) and shows, that the complexity of the problem in practice increases (more or less) quadratically in the dimension. The time measurements are to be taken with care since many machine effects may be included (like swapping data for problems in high dimensions).

The third line gives the average number of Cholesky decompositions made solving one test problem, where the average was computed over all **NORMAL CASE** test problems (i.e. for all tests that happened to be treated as **NORMAL CASE** by procedure `lm_opt`; this has not to be the same as all tests generated as in subsection 4.1 (a)). The number of Cholesky decompositions is a good measure for the complexity “modulo dimension”.

The fourth line is the same as the third but for **HARDCASE** instead of **NORMAL CASE**. For **CASE NU == 0** the number of Cholesky decompositions is constantly 2 (not in the table).

The last line gives the percentage of tests that happened to be **HARDCASE**. In fact not every test generated as **NORMAL CASE** (see subsection 4.1 (a)) is recognized as such by procedure `lm_opt`; it could be a **HARDCASE** too. For low dimensions (observed for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$) a test generated as **HARDCASE** (subsection 4.1 (c)) could be solved as **NORMAL CASE**. Only the **CASE NU == 0** problems

are always in this same case when solved.

Table of results for problem (1):

Dimension n	1	2	3	4	8	16	32
milliseconds	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.8	3.0	14.3
milliseconds/ n^2	0.1875	0.0781	0.0341	0.0195	0.0122	0.0117	0.0140
Chol.dec. NORMAL CASE	1.21	4.09	4.39	4.50	4.49	4.59	4.58
Chol.dec. HARDCASE	-	14.25	15.54	15.91	17.77	17.63	17.20
% HARDCASE	0	11.0	17.4	18.8	17.8	18.7	20.0

Dimension n	100	200	300	400	500
milliseconds	319.7	1138.1	7503.1	15270.8	34479.2
milliseconds/ n^2	0.0320	0.0285	0.0834	0.0954	0.1379
Chol.dec. NORMAL CASE	4.93	5.29	5.29	5.06	5.31
Chol.dec. HARDCASE	18.29	17.31	18.02	21.35	18.95
% HARDCASE	19.8	23.2	20.6	20.8	19.8

Table of results for problem (2):

Dimension n	1	2	3	4	8	16	32
milliseconds	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.5	1.0	5.9	35.3
milliseconds/ n^2	0.0714	0.0357	0.0238	0.0313	0.0156	0.0232	0.0345
Chol.dec. NORMAL CASE	3.00	5.50	5.48	5.16	5.81	6.85	5.09
Chol.dec. HARDCASE	-	23.12	24.52	25.13	26.40	27.72	29.04
% HARDCASE	0	29.0	48.3	53.4	55.9	78.9	85.5

Dimension n	100	200	300	400	500
milliseconds	888.6	9610.0	38378.6	86904.8	197404.8
milliseconds/ n^2	0.0889	0.2403	0.4264	0.5432	0.7896
Chol.dec. NORMAL CASE	6.35	(17.00)	(10.00)	(11.00)	-
Chol.dec. HARDCASE	28.04	28.61	28.44	26.56	28.26
% HARDCASE	85.6	99.9	99.3	97.6	100.0

The maximum number of Cholesky decompositions for one test problem ever observed was 102.

The precision of the results is as follows:

- (a) For **NORMAL CASE** problems, the solution δ is unique. The error of the computed solution δ_{comp} can be measured as relative error $\frac{\|\delta - \delta_{comp}\|}{\|\delta\|}$. The maximum value for this error ever observed was lower than $2.32 \cdot 10^{-13}$.
- (b) For **CASE NU == 0** problems, the procedure always found the correct solution; this is caused by the numerical identity of the way of generating and solving the **CASE NU == 0** problems.
- (c) For **HARDCASE** problems, we use an approximation for an eigenvector β , so the accuracy of the solutions is lower than for **NORMAL CASE** problems. Because of the multitude of the solutions, we can not take the same measure for the error as in (a), but we take the error $\frac{|q(\delta) - q(\delta_{comp})|}{|q(\delta_{comp})|}$. The maximum value for this error ever observed was lower than $1.28 \cdot 10^{-9}$.

References

- [1] R. Fletcher:
Practical Methods of Optimization, Second Edition,
John Wiley & Sons Ltd. 1987, p. 100 - 107
ISBN 0 471 91547 5
- [2] David M. Gay:
Computing Optimal Locally Constrained Steps,
SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comput., Vol. 2, No. 2, June 1981, p. 186 - 197

A Source Code of the C Program

```
1  /* Eidgenoessische Technische Hochschule Zuerich
2
3  Institut fuer Operations Research
4  Clausiusstrasse 45
5  CH-8092 Zuerich
6
7  lmlib: Library for Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm
8
9  Lukas Finschi, 20.11.1995 - 16.4.1996
10
11  Procedures in lmlib.c: - eval_q
12                        - lowerbound_lambdamin
13                        - upperbound_lambdamax
14                        - dcholdc
15                        - dcholsl
16                        - dchlhsl
17                        - lm_opt
18                        - lm_opt_peripheral
19
20  Remarks:
21
22      1. This library solves numerically a special quadratic optimization
23         problem using the method of the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm with
24         the technique of More (see ref. 3, 4), implemented with special
25         techniques for higher efficiency (see ref. 5).
26
27      2. The data structure for matrices and vectors is the structure used in
28         "Numerical Recipes in C" (see ref. 1, 2):
29         - For vectors and matrices, you are free to use as range of the
30           indices 1..dim instead of 0..(dim-1). Notation: e.g.
31           matrix[1..dim][1..dim] is a matrix of dimension dim x dim.
32         - A matrix is represented as an array of an array (referencing twice)
33           as follows: let be "double **matrix;" our declaration, then
34               matrix      is the pointer of the matrix,
35               matrix[i]   is the pointer of the i-th row,
36               matrix[i][j] is the (double-)element with indices i, j.
37         - A symmetric matrix A is used only in the upper-right part (incl. the
38           diagonal), i.e. only elements A[i][j] with i<=j are used.
39           If a Cholesky-decomposition is done for such a matrix A, i.e.
40            $A = L * L^T$ , then the generated matrix L will be stored in the
41           lower-left part of A (not incl. the diagonal) and in a separate
42           vector for the diagonal elements.
43           The procedures solving linear equations like  $Ax=b$  or  $Lx=b$  will
44           use this representation of L.
45
46      3. For allocating matrices and vectors and computing Cholesky-
47         decompositions and solutions of linear equation systems some
48         procedures of "Numerical Recipes in C" are used (partially modified).
49
50      4. There also exists a program that allows to use part of this library in
51         Mathematica (via MathLink).
52
53      5. Furthermore there exists a program that allows to use part of this
54         library in S-Plus.
55
56      6. See also comments to each procedure below.
57
58  References:
59
```


- 60 1. W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, B. P. Flannery:
61 Numerical Recipes in C, The Art of Scientific Computing,
62 Second Edition, Cambridge University Press 1988, 1992, 1994
63 ISBN 0 521 43108 5
64
65 2. W. T. Vetterling, S. A. Teukolsky, W. H. Press, B. P. Flannery:
66 Numerical Recipes, Example Book (C),
67 Second Edition, Cambridge University Press 1988, 1992
68 ISBN 0 521 43720 2
69
70 3. R. Fletcher: Practical Methods of Optimization, Second Edition,
71 John Wiley & Sons Ltd. 1987, p. 100 - 107
72 ISBN 0 471 91547 5
73
74 4. David M. Gay: Computing Optimal Locally Constrained Steps,
75 SIAM J. Sci. Stat. Comput., Vol. 2, No. 2, June 1981, p. 186 - 197
76
77 5. Lukas Finschi: An Implementation of the Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm,
78 Institut fuer Operations Research, Clausiusstrasse 45, CH-8092 Zuerich
79 1996

80
81 Compiling:

82
83 This library has to be compiled/linked with "nrutil.c" from the
84 "Numerical Recipes in C" package.

```

85 */
86
87 /* ===== */
88 /* Includings: Header-Files of the used C programming package:
89     - math.h:  sqrt      (used in dcholdc, lm_opt)
90               fabs      (used in lowerbound_lambdamin,
91                       upperbound_lambdamax,
92                       lm_opt)
93     - float.h: DBL_EPSILON (used for NU_EPS, H_EPS)
94               DBL_MAX     (used in lm_opt)
95 Header-Files of the "Numerical Recipes in C" package:
96     - nrutil.h: dmatrix   (used in lm_opt)
97               dvector    (used in lm_opt)
98               free_dmatrix (used in lm_opt)
99               free_dvector (used in lm_opt)
100 */
101
102 #include <math.h>
103 #include <float.h>
104
105 #ifndef NR_END
106 #include "nrutil.h"
107 #endif
108
109 /* ===== */
110
111 #ifndef NU_EPS                                /** NU_EPS **/
112 #define NU_EPS 5*DBL_EPSILON /* never >= 1 !!! */
113 #endif                                        /** NU_EPS **/
114
115 #ifndef H_EPS                                /** H_EPS **/
116 #define H_EPS 10*DBL_EPSILON /* never >= 1 !!! */
117 #endif                                        /** H_EPS **/
118
119 #ifndef TRUE                                  /** TRUE **/
120 #define TRUE 1

```

```

121 #endif                                     /** TRUE   **/
122
123 #ifndef FALSE                               /** FALSE **/
124 #define FALSE    0
125 #endif                                     /** FALSE **/
126
127 #ifndef UNKNOWN                              /** UNKNOWN **/
128 #define UNKNOWN  0
129 #endif                                     /** UNKNOWN **/
130
131 /* ===== */
132 /* Procedure: double eval_q(double **mg, double *vg, double *vd, int dim)
133
134     Input:      mg:  matrix G[1..dim][1..dim] (symmetric;
135                  only entries mg[i][j] with i<=j are used)
136                vg:  vector g[1..dim]
137                vd:  vector delta[1..dim]
138                dim: dimension (has to be >= 1)
139
140     Output:     value of q(delta) = 0.5*(delta^T)*G*delta + (g^T)*delta
141                =0.5*sum_over_i_j(mg[i][j]*vd[i]*vd[j])+sum_over_i(vg[i]*vd[i])
142
143     Comments:   The inputs mg, vg, vd (given by reference) are not changed
144                 by eval_q (read only).
145
146     Mnemonics:  eval_q: "evaluates the function q"
147 */
148
149 double eval_q(double **mg, double *vg, double *vd, int dim)
150 {
151     double value;
152     int i, j;
153
154     value = 0;
155     for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) {
156         value += (mg[i][i]*vd[i]*vd[i])/2;
157         for(j=i+1; j<=dim; j++) value += mg[i][j]*vd[i]*vd[j];
158         value += vg[i]*vd[i];
159     }
160     return value;
161 }
162
163 /* ===== */
164 /* Procedure: lowerbound_lambdamin(double **mg, int dim)
165
166     Input:      mg:  matrix G[1..dim][1..dim] (symmetric;
167                  only entries mg[i][j] with i<=j are used)
168                dim: dimension (has to be >= 1)
169
170     Output:     a lower bound for the minimal eigenvalue of G
171
172     Comments:   Since G is symmetric, all eigenvalues lambda_i of G are real
173                 numbers. Let lambda_min be the smallest of them, i.e.
174                 lambda_min <= lambda_i for all i. The procedure computes (using
175                 Gershgorin discs) an number
176                 lowerbound_lambdamin(double **mg, int dim) <= lambda_min.
177
178                 The input mg is not changed by this procedure (read only).
179
180     Mnemonics:  lowerbound_lambdamin: "lower bound for lambda_min"
181 */

```

```

182
183 double lowerbound_lambdamin(double **mg, int dim)
184 {
185     int i, j;
186     double min, temp;
187
188     for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) {
189         temp = mg[i][i];
190         for(j=1; j<i; j++) temp -= fabs(mg[j][i]);
191         for(j=i+1; j<=dim; j++) temp -= fabs(mg[i][j]);
192         if(i==1 || min>temp) min = temp;
193     }
194     return min;
195 }
196
197 /* ===== */
198 /* Procedure: upperbound_lambdamax(double **mg, int dim)
199
200 Input:    mg:  matrix G[1..dim][1..dim] (symmetric;
201           only entries mg[i][j] with i<=j are used)
202           dim: dimension (has to be >= 1)
203
204 Output:   an upper bound for the maximal eigenvalue of G
205
206 Comments: Since G is symmetric, all eigenvalues lambda_i of G are real
207           numbers. Let lambda_max be the largest of them, i.e.
208           lambda_i <= lambda_max for all i. The procedure computes (using
209           Gershgorin discs) an number
210           upperbound_lambdamax(double **mg, int dim) >= lambda_max.
211
212           The input mg is not changed by this procedure (read only).
213
214 Mnemonics: upperbound_lambdamax: "upper bound for lambda_max"
215 */
216
217 double upperbound_lambdamax(double **mg, int dim)
218 {
219     int i, j;
220     double max, temp;
221
222     for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) {
223         temp = mg[i][i];
224         for(j=1; j<i; j++) temp += fabs(mg[j][i]);
225         for(j=i+1; j<=dim; j++) temp += fabs(mg[i][j]);
226         if(i==1 || max<temp) max = temp;
227     }
228     return max;
229 }
230
231 /* ===== */
232 /* Procedure: int dcholdc(double **a, int dim, double *p)
233
234 Input:    a:  matrix A[1..dim][1..dim] (symmetric;
235           only entries a[i][j] with i<=j are used)
236           dim: dimension (has to be >= 1)
237           p:  vector p[1..dim]
238
239 Output:   If the Cholesky-decomposition is possible: 0; else: 1.
240           In the first case the lower-left part of input a contains the
241           non-diagonal part of L and p contains the diagonal elements of L.
242

```

```

243     Comments:  The procedure computes the Cholesky-decompositon of A, i.e.
244                 A = L * L^T (see also remark 2 at the beginning of this file).
245
246                 The decomposition is possible if and only if A is not positive
247                 definite, so this procedure is also a test for positive
248                 definiteness.
249
250                 This procedure is a "Numerical Recipes in C" procedure
251                 (modified for type "double").
252
253                 The upper-right part of input a (incl. the diagonal) is not
254                 changed by this procedure (read only).
255
256     Mnemonics: dcholdc: ("double"-version of) Cholesky-decomposition"
257 */
258
259 int dcholdc(double **a, int dim, double *p)
260 {
261     int i, j, k;
262     double sum;
263
264     for(i=1; i<=dim; i++){
265         for(j=i; j<=dim; j++){
266             sum = a[i][j];
267             for (k=i-1; k>=1; k--) sum -= a[i][k]*a[j][k];
268             if(i==j) {
269                 if(sum<=0.0) return 1;
270                 p[i] = sqrt(sum);
271             }
272             else a[j][i] = sum/p[i];
273         }
274     }
275     return 0;
276 }
277
278 /* ===== */
279 /* Procedure: void dcholsl(double **a, int dim, double p[], double b[],\
280                      double x[])
281
282     Input:      a:  matrix A[1..dim][1..dim] (as result of dcholdc;
283                  only entries a[i][k] with k<i are used)
284                dim: dimension (has to be >= 1)
285                p:  vector p[1..dim] (diagonal of Cholesky-decomp.)
286                b:  vector b[1..dim] (right hand side of equation)
287                x:  vector x[1..dim] (where the solution is returned)
288
289     Output:     overwrites the entries of x
290
291     Comments:   The procedure computes the solution of Ax=b, where the Cholesky-
292                 decomposition A = L * L^T is given in inputs a and p (see
293                 comments of procedure dcholdc and remark 2 at the beginning of
294                 this file).
295
296                 This procedure is a "Numerical Recipes in C" procedure
297                 (modified for type "double").
298
299                 The inputs a, p, and b are not changed by this procedure (read
300                 only).
301
302     Mnemonics: dcholsl: ("double"-version of) Cholesky-decomposition solver"
303 */

```

```

304
305 void dcholsl(double **a, int dim, double p[], double b[], double x[])
306 {
307     int i, k;
308     double sum;
309
310     for (i=1; i<=dim; i++) { /* solve L * y = b (here: y stored in x) */
311         for (sum=b[i],k=i-1; k>=1; k--) sum -= a[i][k]*x[k];
312         x[i] = sum/p[i];
313     }
314     for (i=dim;i>=1;i--) { /* solve L^T * x = y */
315         for (sum=x[i],k=i+1; k<=dim; k++) sum -= a[k][i]*x[k];
316         x[i] = sum/p[i];
317     }
318 }
319
320 /* ===== */
321 /* Procedure: void dchlsl(double **a, int dim, double p[], double b[],\
322                    double x[])
323
324     Input:      a:  matrix A[1..dim][1..dim] (as result of dcholdc;
325                  only entries a[i][k] with k<i are used)
326                dim: dimension (has to be >= 1)
327                p:  vector p[1..dim] (diagonal of Cholesky-decomp.)
328                b:  vector b[1..dim] (right hand side of equation)
329                x:  vector x[1..dim] (where the solution is returned)
330
331     Output:     overwrites the entries of x
332
333     Comments:   The procedure computes the solution of Lx=b, where the Cholesky-
334                 decomposition A = L * L^T is given in inputs a and p (see
335                 comments of procedure dcholdc and remark 2 at the beginning of
336                 this file).
337
338                 This procedure is derived from dcholsl.
339
340                 The inputs a, p, and b are not changed by this procedure (read
341                 only).
342
343     Mnemonics:  dchlsl: ("double"-version of) Cholesky-decomp. half solver"
344                  ("half" means: half the way of solving L * L^T * x = b)
345 */
346
347 void dchlsl(double **a, int dim, double p[], double b[], double x[])
348 {
349     int i, k;
350     double sum;
351
352     for (i=1; i<=dim; i++) {
353         for (sum=b[i],k=i-1; k>=1; k--) sum -= a[i][k]*x[k];
354         x[i]=sum/p[i];
355     }
356 }
357
358 /* ===== */
359 /* Procedure: void lm_opt(double **mg, double *vg, double *vd,\
360                    double *pval, double *pnu, double h, int dim,\
361                    int *pcase, int *pcholcount, int *pparaiter)
362
363     Input:      mg:      matrix G[1..dim][1..dim] (symmetric;
364                  only entries mg[i][j] with i<=j are used)

```

```

365      vg:      vector g[1..dim]
366      vd:      vector delta[1..dim] (return value: delta~)
367      pval:    pointer to q(delta~) (return value)
368      pnuc:    pointer to nu~ (return value)
369      h:      radius of the ball (has to be > 0)
370      dim:    dimension (has to be >= 1)
371      pcas:    (geometric) case of the optimization problem
372              (return value; one of: 1 (NORMAL CASE),
373              0 (CASE NU == 0), -1 (HARDCASE))
374      pcholcount: pointer to the count of Cholesky-decompositions
375                  done during the execution of lm_opt (return value)
376      pparaiter: pointer to the count of iterations (i.e. executions
377                  of the while-loop) done finding nu~ (return value)
378
379  Output:  overwrites the inputs vd, pval, pnuc, pcas, pcholcount, paraiter
380
381  Comments: This procedure solves numerically the following quadratic
382             minimization problem:
383
384             min q(delta), such that norm(delta) <= h,
385
386             where q(delta) = 0.5*(delta^T)*G*delta + (g^T)*delta, and
387             norm(delta) = sqrt(delta^T * delta).
388
389             lm_opt will find a solution delta~ and a nu~>=0, such that
390
391             (G + nu~) is positive semidefinite,
392             (G + nu~ * 1) * delta~ = -g,
393             if nu~>0, then norm(delta~)=h.
394
395             For techniques used in this procedure, see ref. 5.
396
397             This procedure does not modify the inputs mg and vg (read only).
398
399  Mnemonics: lm_opt: "Levenberg-Marquardt optimization"
400
401  variables used in the procedure:
402      mg_nu:      matrix (G + nu * 1)
403      vcholdiag_mg_nu: vector of the diagonal of L (result of the
404                      Cholesky-decomposition of mg_nu)
405      vb:        vector beta (used in two different contexts:
406                  i) calculation Newton-step to find nu~
407                  ii) computing vd + tau*vb (HARDCASE) )
408      nu:        the Levenberg-Marquardt parameter
409      nu_last, nu_next: last nu, next nu
410      nu_low, nu_high: lower and upper bound of nu
411      norm_vd:     norm of the vector delta (= sqrt(vd^T * vd))
412      norm2_vb:    norm^2 of the vector beta (= vb^T * vb)
413      innprod_vd_vb: inner prod. of delta and beta (= vd^T * vb)
414      tau:        used computing vd + tau*vb (HARDCASE)
415      mindiag, mindiag_last:
416                  value of the smallest diagonal element of L
417                  (result of the Cholesky-decomposition of
418                  mg_nu), last such value
419      tmp:        a temporary used variable (various contexts)
420      i, j:       integer used as indices (many contexts)
421      min_index:  index according to mindiag
422      vg_not_zero: boolean (TRUE <=> vg is not 0)
423      nu_low_gt_milami: boolean (TRUE <=> nu_low > -lambda_min)
424      bisection:  boolean (TRUE => use bisection method)
425      tiny_step_up: boolean (for special convergence method)

```

```

426 */
427
428 void lm_opt(double **mg, double *vg, double *vd, double *pval, double *pnu,\
429           double h, int dim, int *pcase, int *pcholcount, int *pparafter)
430 {
431     double **mg_nu, *vcholdiag_mg_nu, *vb;
432     double nu, nu_last, nu_next, nu_low, nu_high;
433     double norm_vd, norm2_vb, innprod_vd_vb, tau, mindiag, mindiag_last, tmp;
434     int i, j, min_index;
435     int vg_not_zero, nu_low_gt_milami, bisection, tiny_step_up;
436
437     /*           Allocation and initialization of variables: */
438     mg_nu = dmatrix(1, dim, 1, dim);
439     vcholdiag_mg_nu = dvector(1, dim);
440     vb = dvector(1, dim);
441
442     for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) {
443         vd[i] = 0;
444         for(j=i+1; j<=dim; j++) mg_nu[i][j] = mg[i][j];
445     }
446     norm_vd = 0;
447     *pparafter = 0;
448     *pcholcount = 0;
449     mindiag = 0;
450     nu_low_gt_milami = UNKNOWN;
451     bisection = FALSE;
452     tiny_step_up = TRUE;
453
454     /*           Set initial values for nu_low, nu_next, nu_high: */
455     nu_low = 0;
456     nu_next = -lowerbound_lambdamin(mg, dim);
457     tmp = 0; for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) tmp += vg[i]*vg[i];
458     if(tmp==0) vg_not_zero = FALSE; else vg_not_zero = TRUE;
459     nu_high = sqrt(tmp)/h + nu_next;
460     if(nu_high<2*NU_EPS) nu_high = 2*NU_EPS;
461     if(nu_next<nu_low) nu_next = nu_high;
462
463     /* * * * * * while: Find a good approximation for nu~: */
464     while(fabs(norm_vd-h) > H_EPS*h && nu_high > NU_EPS\
465           && (nu_high-nu_low>nu_high*NU_EPS || nu_low-nu>nu_high*NU_EPS)) {
466         *pparafter += 1;
467         if(*pparafter>30) bisection = TRUE;
468
469         nu_last = nu;
470         nu = nu_next;
471         mindiag_last = mindiag;
472
473         /*           Find a Cholesky-decomposition of a mg_nu: */
474         for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) mg_nu[i][i] = mg[i][i]+nu;
475         while(dcholdc(mg_nu, dim, vcholdiag_mg_nu)!=0 \
476               && nu_high-nu_low>nu_high*NU_EPS) {
477             *pcholcount += 1;
478             nu_low = nu;
479             if(nu_high-nu_low<=nu_high*NU_EPS) nu = nu_high;
480             else if(bisection) nu = (nu_low+nu_high)/2;
481             else nu = nu_low + 0.4*(nu_high-nu_low);
482             for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) mg_nu[i][i] = mg[i][i]+nu;
483         }
484         *pcholcount += 1;
485
486         /*           Find new nu_low, nu_high: */

```

```

487     if(vg_not_zero) {
488         dcholsl(mg_nu, dim, vcholdiag_mg_nu, vg, vd);
489         for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) vd[i] = -vd[i];
490         norm_vd = 0; for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) norm_vd += vd[i]*vd[i];
491         norm_vd = sqrt(norm_vd);
492         if(!bisection) {
493             dchlsl(mg_nu, dim, vcholdiag_mg_nu, vd, vb);
494             norm2_vb = 0; for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) norm2_vb += vb[i]*vb[i];
495             tmp = nu - (1-norm_vd/h)*(norm_vd*norm_vd/norm2_vb);
496             if(tmp>nu_low) nu_low = tmp;
497         }
498     }
499     if(!vg_not_zero || norm_vd<h) {
500         nu_high = nu;
501         mindiag = DBL_MAX;
502         for(i=1; i<=dim; i++)
503             if(vcholdiag_mg_nu[i]<mindiag) mindiag = vcholdiag_mg_nu[i];
504     }
505     else {
506         if(nu>nu_low) nu_low = nu;
507         nu_low_gt_milami = TRUE;
508     }
509
510     /* Find nu_next (in (nu_low, nu_high)): */
511     if(bisection) nu_next = (nu_low+nu_high)/2;
512     else if(nu_low_gt_milami || *pparaite==1) nu_next = nu_low;
513     else {
514         tmp = mindiag/mindiag_last; tmp = tmp*tmp;
515         if(tmp==1) nu_next = (nu_low+nu_high)/2;
516         else nu_next = (nu-tmp*nu_last)/(1-tmp);
517         if(nu_next>nu_low && nu_next<nu_high) {
518             tmp = 0.01*(nu_high-nu_next);
519             if(tmp<nu_high*NU_EPS) {
520                 if(tiny_step_up) {
521                     nu_next = nu_next + nu_high*NU_EPS;
522                     if(nu_next>nu_high) nu_next = nu_next - 2*nu_high*NU_EPS;
523                     else tiny_step_up = FALSE;
524                 }
525                 else {
526                     nu_next = nu_next - nu_high*NU_EPS;
527                     if(nu_next<nu_low) nu_next = nu_next + 2*nu_high*NU_EPS;
528                     else tiny_step_up = TRUE;
529                 }
530             }
531             else nu_next = nu_next + tmp;
532         }
533         else nu_next = nu_low + 0.3*(nu_high-nu_low);
534     }
535 }
536 /* * * * * * * * * * * end of while: Found a good approximation for nu~. */
537
538 /* Find with nu~ (and vd(nu~)) a solution vd~: */
539 if(fabs(norm_vd-h)<=H_EPS*h){ /* NORMAL CASE; vd~ = vd(nu~) */
540     *pcase = 1;
541 }
542 else if(nu==0) { /* CASE NU == 0; vd~ = vd(nu~) */
543     *pcase = 0;
544 }
545 else { /* HARDCASE; vd~ = vd(nu~) + tau*vb */
546     *pcase = -1;
547 }

```



```

548     mindiag = DBL_MAX;
549     for(i=1; i<=dim; i++)
550         if(vcholddiag_mg_nu[i]<mindiag) {
551             mindiag = vcholddiag_mg_nu[i];
552             min_index = i;
553         }
554     for(i=dim; i>min_index; i--) vb[i] = 0;
555     vb[min_index] = 1;
556     for(i=min_index-1; i>=1; i--) {
557         tmp = -mg_nu[min_index][i];
558         for(j=i+1; j<min_index; j++) tmp -= mg_nu[j][i]*vb[j];
559         vb[i] = tmp/vcholddiag_mg_nu[i];
560     }
561     norm2_vb = 0;
562     for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) norm2_vb += vb[i]*vb[i];
563
564     innprod_vd_vb = 0;
565     for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) innprod_vd_vb += vd[i]*vb[i];
566     tmp = innprod_vd_vb*innprod_vd_vb + norm2_vb*(h*h-norm_vd*norm_vd);
567     if(innprod_vd_vb>0)
568         tau = (-innprod_vd_vb + sqrt(tmp) ) /norm2_vb;
569     else
570         tau = (-innprod_vd_vb - sqrt(tmp) ) /norm2_vb;
571     for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) vd[i] = vd[i]+tau*vb[i];
572 }
573 *pval = eval_q(mg, vg, vd, dim);
574 *pnu = nu;
575
576 free_dmatrix(mg_nu, 1, dim, 1, dim);
577 free_dvector(vcholddiag_mg_nu, 1, dim);
578 free_dvector(vb, 1, dim);
579
580 return;
581 }
582
583 /* ===== */
584 /* Procedure: void lm_opt_peripheral(double **mg, double *vg, double *vd,\
585     double *pval, double *pnu, double h, int dim,\
586     int *pcase, int *pcholcount, int *pparaiter)
587
588 Input:   mg:       matrix G[1..dim][1..dim] (symmetric;
589             only entries mg[i][j] with i<=j are used)
590         vg:       vector g[1..dim]
591         vd:       vector delta[1..dim] (return value: delta~)
592         pval:     pointer to q(delta~) (return value)
593         pnu:     pointer to nu~ (return value)
594         h:       radius of the ball (has to be > 0)
595         dim:     dimension (has to be >= 1)
596         pcase:   (geometric) case of the optimization problem
597                 (return value; one of: 1 (NORMAL CASE),
598                 0 (CASE NU == 0), -1 (HARDCASE))
599         pcholcount: pointer to the count of Cholesky-decompositions
600                 done during the execution of lm_opt (return value)
601         pparaiter: pointer to the count of iterations (i.e. executions
602                 of the while-loop) done finding nu~ (return value)
603
604 Output:  overwrites the inputs vd, pval, pnu, pcase, pcholcount, paraiter
605
606 Comments: This procedure solves numerically the following quadratic
607 minimization problem:
608

```

```

609             min q(delta), such that norm(delta) = h,
610
611             where q(delta) = 0.5*(delta^T)*G*delta + (g^T)*delta and
612             norm(delta) = sqrt(delta^T * delta).
613
614             lm_opt_peripheral will find a solution delta~ and a nu~,
615             such that
616
617             (G + nu~) is positive semidefinite,
618             (G + nu~ * I) * delta~ = -g,
619
620             For techniques used in this procedure, see ref. 5.
621
622             This procedure does not modify the input vg (read only),
623             but modifies the diagonal elements of mg!
624
625             Mnemonics: lm_opt_peripheral : "Levenberg-Marquardt optimization of the
626                                 peripheral minimization problem"
627             */
628
629             void lm_opt_peripheral(double **mg, double *vg, double *vd,\
630                 double *pval, double *pnu, double h, int dim,\
631                 int *pcase, int *pcholcount, int *pparaiter)
632             {
633                 double mu;
634                 int i;
635
636                 mu = upperbound_lambdamax(mg, dim);
637                 for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) mg[i][i] -= mu;
638
639                 lm_opt(mg, vg, vd, pval, pnu, h, dim, pcase, pcholcount, pparaiter);
640
641                 *pval += 0.5*mu*h*h;
642                 *pnu -= mu;
643
644                 return;
645             }

```

B Extension to Mathematica

The Makefile

```
1 #
2 # Makefile for lm_mathlink, the Mathematica extension of lmlib.c
3 #
4 # Remarks:
5 #
6 # 1. First the Template File (here "lm_mathlink.tm") has to be passed to
7 # the MathLink Preprocessor mprep. To do this, type "make mprep"; a file
8 # called "lm_mathlink.tm.c" will be generated.
9 #
10 # 2. After preprocessing the Template File (see rem. 1), the output of mprep
11 # and the C Source File (here "lm_mathlink.c") are compiled/linked
12 # together. To do so, type "make math"; the output file is called
13 # "lm_mathlink". (Steps 1 and 2 could be combined.)
14 #
15 # 3. Having generated a MathLink Object File (see rem. 2), you can start
16 # Mathematica and work; here an example session:
17 #     In[1]:= lmlink = Install["lm_mathlink"]
18 #
19 #     Out[1]= LinkObject[lm_mathlink, 1, 1]
20 #
21 #     In[2]:= LinkPatterns[lmlink]
22 #
23 #     Out[2]= {LevMarquardt[(m_)?MatrixQ, (v_)?ListQ, r_Real],
24 #
25 # >     LevMarquardtEq[(m_)?MatrixQ, (v_)?ListQ, r_Real]}
26 #
27 #     In[3]:= ?LevMarquardt
28 #     Procedure: LevMarquardt[ G, g, r].
29 #
30 #     Input:      matrix G[1..dim][1..dim] (symmetric;
31 #                only entries mg[i][j] with i<=j are used)
32 #                vector g[1..dim]
33 #                radius r of the ball (has to be > 0)
34 #
35 #     Output:     vector delta
36 #
37 #     Comments:   This procedure solves numerically the
38 #                following quadratic minimization problem:
39 #
40 #                min q[delta], such that Norm[delta] <= r,
41 #
42 #                where
43 #                q[delta] = 0.5*(delta^T)*G*delta + (g^T)*delta,
44 #                and
45 #                Norm[delta] = Sqrt[delta^T * delta].
46 #
47 #     In[3]:= G = {{5.0, 4.0}, {4.0, 5.0}}
48 #
49 #     Out[3]= {{5., 4.}, {4., 5.}}
50 #
51 #     In[4]:= g = {2.0, 3.0}
52 #
53 #     Out[4]= {2., 3.}
54 #
55 #     In[5]:= LevMarquardt[G, g, 3.0]
56 #
57 #     Out[5]= {0.2222222222222222, -0.777778}
```

```

58 #
59 #       In[6]:= LevMarquardtEq[G, g, 3.0]
60 #
61 #       Out[6]= {1.79603579204218, -2.40297}
62 #
63 #       In[7]:= Uninstall[lmlink]
64 #
65 #       Out[7]= lm_mathlink
66 #
67 #       In[8]:= Quit
68 #
69 #
70 #       (Remark: Uninstall (see In[7]) is not needed before Quit.)
71
72 mprep:
73     /users/software/math/Bin/MathLink/mprep \
74 lm_mathlink.tm > lm_mathlink.tm.c
75
76 math:
77     gcc -I/users1/students/finschi/LevMar \
78 -I/users/software/math/Source/Includes -O3 \
79 lm_mathlink.c lm_mathlink.tm.c ../lmlib.c ../nrutil.c \
80 /users/software/math/Bin/MathLink/libML.a \
81 -lm -o lm_mathlink

```

The Template File

```
1  :Begin:
2  :Function:      lev_mar
3  :Pattern:      LevMarquardt[m_?MatrixQ, v_?ListQ, r_Real]
4  :Arguments:    { m, v, r }
5  :ArgumentTypes: { Manual }
6  :ReturnType:   Manual
7  :End:
8
9  :Evaluate:      LevMarquardt::usage =
10                 "Procedure: LevMarquardt[ G, g, r].\n\nInput:      matrix G[1..dim][1..dim] (sy
11                 where\n                q[delta] = 0.5*(delta^T)*G*delta + (g^T)*delta,\n                and\n
12
13 :Begin:
14 :Function:      lev_mar_eq
15 :Pattern:      LevMarquardtEq[m_?MatrixQ, v_?ListQ, r_Real]
16 :Arguments:    { m, v, r }
17 :ArgumentTypes: { Manual }
18 :ReturnType:   Manual
19 :End:
20
21 :Evaluate:      LevMarquardtEq::usage =
22                 "Procedure: LevMarquardtEq[ G, g, r].\n\nInput:      matrix G[1..dim][1..dim] (
23                 where\n                q[delta] = 0.5*(delta^T)*G*delta + (g^T)*delta,\n                and\n
24
```

The C File

```
1  /* IFOR Zurich: Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm
2     "lm_mathlink.c": MathLink Extension of lmlib.c
3     Lukas Finschi, 29.1.1996 - 2.4.1996
4
5     Procedures in lm_mathlink.c: - lev_mar
6                                   - lev_mar_eq
7                                   - main
8
9  */
10
11 #include "nrutil.h"
12 #include "lm.h"
13 #include "mathlink.h"
14
15 void lev_mar(void)
16 {
17     double **mg, *mg_math, *vg, *vg_math, *vd, val, nu, h;
18     long *dim_math, dim_vg_math, depth;
19     int i, j, dim, lmcase, chol, para;
20     char **heads;
21
22     MLGetDoubleArray(stdlink, &mg_math, &dim_math, &heads, &depth);
23
24     dim = (int) dim_math[0];
25     mg = dmatrix(1, dim, 1, dim);
26     for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) for(j=1; j<=dim; j++)
27         mg[i][j] = mg_math[(i-1)*dim + (j-1)];
28
29     MLGetRealList(stdlink, &vg_math, &dim_vg_math);
30     /* here is no test for dim_math == dim_vg_math */
31     vg = dvector(1, dim);
32     for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) vg[i] = vg_math[i-1];
33
34     MLGetReal(stdlink, &h);
35
36     vd = dvector(1, dim);
37
38     lm_opt(mg, vg, vd, &val, &nu, h, dim, &lmcase, &chol, &para);
39
40     MLPutRealList(stdlink, &(vd[1]), (long) dim);
41
42     free_dmatrix(mg, 1, dim, 1, dim);
43     free_dvector(vg, 1, dim);
44     free_dvector(vd, 1, dim);
45
46     MLDisownDoubleArray(stdlink, mg_math, dim_math, heads, depth);
47     MLDisownRealList(stdlink, vg_math, dim_vg_math);
48
49     return;
50 }
51
52 void lev_mar_eq(void)
53 {
54     double **mg, *mg_math, *vg, *vg_math, *vd, val, nu, h;
55     long *dim_math, dim_vg_math, depth;
56     int i, j, dim, lmcase, chol, para;
57     char **heads;
58
59     MLGetDoubleArray(stdlink, &mg_math, &dim_math, &heads, &depth);
```

```

60
61     dim = (int) dim_math[0];
62     mg = dmatrix(1, dim, 1, dim);
63     for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) for(j=1; j<=dim; j++)
64         mg[i][j] = mg_math[(i-1)*dim + (j-1)];
65
66     MLGetRealList(stdlink, &vg_math, &dim_vg_math);
67         /* here is no test for dim_math == dim_vg_math */
68     vg = dvector(1, dim);
69     for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) vg[i] = vg_math[i-1];
70
71     MLGetReal(stdlink, &h);
72
73     vd = dvector(1, dim);
74
75     lm_opt_peripheral(mg, vg, vd, &val, &nu, h, dim, &lmcase, &chol, &para);
76
77     MLPutRealList(stdlink, &(vd[1]), (long) dim);
78
79     free_dmatrix(mg, 1, dim, 1, dim);
80     free_dvector(vg, 1, dim);
81     free_dvector(vd, 1, dim);
82
83     MLDisownDoubleArray(stdlink, mg_math, dim_math, heads, depth);
84     MLDisownRealList(stdlink, vg_math, dim_vg_math);
85
86     return;
87 }
88
89 int main(int argc, char *argv[])
90 {
91     return MLMain(argc, argv);
92 }

```

C Extension to S-Plus

The Makefile

```
1  #
2  # Makefile for lm_spluslink, the S-Plus extension of lmlib.c
3  #
4  # Remarks:
5  #
6  # 1. First the C Source File (here "lm_spluslink.c") has to be compiled.
7  #    To do this, type "make"; a file called "lm_spluslink.o" will be
8  #    generated.
9  #    Another possibility is to type "Splus COMPILE lm_spluslink.c"
10 #
11 # 2. After compilation (see rem. 1) you can start S-Plus and work; here
12 #    an example session:
13 #      > dyn.load2("lm_spluslink.o")
14 #      > G_matrix(c(5, 4, 4, 5), 2, 2)
15 #      > g_c(2, 3)
16 #      > r_3
17 #      > n_2
18 #      > d_c(0,0)
19 #      > .C("lev_mar", as.double(G), as.double(g), as.double(r),
20 #      + as.integer(n), as.double(d))[[5]]
21 #      [1] 0.2222222 -0.7777778
22 #      > .C("lev_mar_eq", as.double(G), as.double(g), as.double(r),
23 #      + as.integer(n), as.double(d))[[5]]
24 #      [1] 1.796036 -2.402968
25 #      > q()
26 #
27 #      (Remark: Instead of "dyn.load2" you may use "dyn.load".)
28
29 NAME = lm_spluslink
30 CFILES = $(NAME).c
31 OFILES =
32 LIBS =
33 CFLAGS = -I/users1/students/finschi/LevMar -c -O3
34 LDFLAGS =
35 CC = gcc
36
37 $(NAME): $(OFILES)
38         $(CC) $(CFLAGS) $(LDFLAGS) $(OFILES) $(CFILES) $(LIBS)
39
```


The C File

```
1  /* IFOR Zurich: Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm
2     "lm_splustlink.c": S-Plus Extension of lmlib.c
3     Lukas Finschi, 31.1.1996 - 16.4.1996
4
5     Procedures in lm_splustlink.c: - lev_mar
6                                     - lev_mar_eq
7
8  */
9
10 #include "nrutil.c"
11 #include "lmlib.c"
12
13 void lev_mar(double *mg_splust, double *vg_splust, double *r_splust,\
14             long *dim_splust, double *vd_splust)
15 {
16     double **mg, *vg, *vd, val, nu, h;
17     int i, j, dim, lmcase, chol, para;
18
19     dim = (int) *dim_splust;
20     mg = dmatrix(1, dim, 1, dim);
21     for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) for(j=1; j<=dim; j++)
22         mg[i][j] = mg_splust[i-1 + (j-1)*dim];
23         /* Splust <-> C: "transpose"! */
24
25     vg = dvector(1, dim);
26     for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) vg[i] = vg_splust[i-1];
27
28     vd = dvector(1, dim);
29
30     h = *r_splust;
31
32     lm_opt(mg, vg, vd, &val, &nu, h, dim, &lmcase, &chol, &para);
33     for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) vd_splust[i-1] = vd[i];
34
35     free_dmatrix(mg, 1, dim, 1, dim);
36     free_dvector(vg, 1, dim);
37     free_dvector(vd, 1, dim);
38
39     return;
40 }
41
42
43 void lev_mar_eq(double *mg_splust, double *vg_splust, double *r_splust,\
44               long *dim_splust, double *vd_splust)
45 {
46     double **mg, *vg, *vd, val, nu, h;
47     int i, j, dim, lmcase, chol, para;
48
49     dim = (int) *dim_splust;
50     mg = dmatrix(1, dim, 1, dim);
51     for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) for(j=1; j<=dim; j++)
52         mg[i][j] = mg_splust[i-1 + (j-1)*dim];
53         /* Splust <-> C: "transpose"! */
54
55     vg = dvector(1, dim);
56     for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) vg[i] = vg_splust[i-1];
57
58     vd = dvector(1, dim);
59
```

```
60     h = *r_splus;
61
62     lm_opt_peripheral(mg, vg, vd, &val, &nu, h, dim, &lmcase, &chol, &para);
63     for(i=1; i<=dim; i++) vd_splus[i-1] = vd[i];
64
65     free_dmatrix(mg, 1, dim, 1, dim);
66     free_dvector(vg, 1, dim);
67     free_dvector(vd, 1, dim);
68
69     return;
70 }
```